arXiv:1701.01972v1 [math.DG] 8 Jan 2017

Zero f-mean curvature surfaces of revolution in the Lorentzian product $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

Doan The Hieu[a] and Tran Le Nam[b]

[a]College of Education, Hue University, Hue, Vietnam
[b]Dong Thap University, Dong Thap, Vietnam

January 10, 2017

Abstract

We classify (spacelike or timelike) surfaces of revolution with zero f-mean curvature in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$, the Lorentz-Minkowski 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ endowed with the Gaussian-Euclidean density $e^{-f(x,y,z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}$. It is proved that an f-maximal surface of revolution is either a horizontal plane or a spacelike f-Catenoid. For the timelike case, a timelike f-minimal surface is either a vertical plane containing z-axis, the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, or a timelike f-Catenoid. Spacelike and timelike f-Catenoids are new examples of f-minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): Primary 53C25; Secondary 53A10; 49Q05
Keywords: Lorentzian product spaces, Gauss space, f-maximal surfaces, timelike f-minimal surfaces, spacelike f-Catenoid, timelike f-Catenoid

1 Introduction

In $\mathbb{R}^3$, together with the plane, Catenoid is the only minimal surface of revolution. If not counting the plane, it is the first minimal surface discovered by Leonhard Euler in 1744. The counterpart of minimal surfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^3_1$, are (spacelike or timelike) surfaces with zero mean curvature. Since the metric in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ is not positive definite, there are three types of vectors (spacelike, lightlike or timelike). Therefore, more complicated than rotations in Euclidean space, in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$, there are three types of Lorentzian rotations depending on the causal of the rotation axises. Maximal surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ have been classified in [7]. Spacelike and timelike surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ have been studied in [8], [9] and [10]. Recently, maximal surfaces in Lorentzian product spaces have been also studied by some authors (see, for example, [1], [2], [3], [11] and [12]).

It is natural to study (spacelike or timelike) surfaces of revolution with zero weighted mean curvature, also called f-mean curvature, in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ endowed with a density, i.e., a positive function defined on $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ used to weight the area (the length) of surfaces (curves).

In this paper, such a density that we considered is the Gaussian-Euclidean density, i.e., the space is the Lorentzian product $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$, where $\mathbb{G}^2$ is the Gauss plane. The space $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ is a special case of n-dimensional spacetime with a density that does not affect "time". It should be mentioned that the space we are living can be seen as a 4-dimensional spacetime with density, the gravity, that affect "space" and does not affect "time".

It is showed that the axis of an f-maximal surface of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ must be the z-axis. Then, solving the f-Maximal Surface Equation for surfaces of revolution we obtain new non-trivial examples, called spacelike f-Catenoids. Beside horizontal planes, they are the only f-maximal surfaces of revolution. This is the first result of the paper.

For the timelike case, by a similar proof, it is proved that the axis of a timelike f-minimal surface of revolution must be the x-axis or the z-axis. If the rotation axis is the x-axis, the only timelike f-minimal surfaces of revolution are vertical planes containing the z-axis. If the rotation axis is the z-axis, there are a family of timelike f-minimal surfaces of revolution, called timelike f-Catenoids, that convergences to another timelike f-minimal surface, the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. The second main result of the paper is that a timelike f-minimal surface of revolution is either the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, a vertical plane containing the z-axis or a timelike f-Catenoid.

2 Preliminaries

For simplicity, all concepts as well as results in this section are introduced in 3-dimensional space. For more details about Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, manifolds with density or the Gauss space we refer the reader to [13], [15], [16], [18], [19] and references therein.

Let $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ be the Lorentz-Minkowski 3-space endowed with the Lorentzian scalar product

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle = dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2.$$

A nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3_1$ is called spacelike, lightlike or timelike if $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle > 0$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = 0$, or $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle < 0$, respectively.

The norm of the vector $\mathbf{x}$ is then defined by $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle|}$. Two vectors $\mathbf{x}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3_1$ are said to be orthogonal if $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2\rangle = 0$, i.e., $x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 - z_1z_2 = 0$. The Lorentzian vector product of $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$, denoted by $\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2$, is defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & -\mathbf{e}_3 \\ x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^3_1$. For every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3_1$,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2\rangle = \det(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2).$$

It follows that $\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2$ is orthogonal to both $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$.

A surface in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ is called spacelike (timelike) if its induced metric from $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ is Riemannian (Lorentzian) or equivalently, every normal vector of the surface is timelike (spacelike).

For example, let α be a plane whose general equation is $Ax+By+Cz+D = 0$, $A^2+B^2-C^2 \neq 0$. It is easy to see that, the vector $\mathbf{n} = (A, B, -C)$ is a normal vector of α. The plane α is spacelike or timelike if and only if $\mathbf{n}$ is timelike or spacelike, respectively.

The following formula for computing the mean curvature of a (spacelike or timelike) surface in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ is well-known (see [14], for instance)

$$H = \epsilon \frac{Eg - 2Ff + Ge}{2(EG - F^2)}, \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon = -1$, if the surface is spacelike; $\epsilon = 1$, if the surface is timelike; E, F, G are the coefficients of the first fundamental form and e, f, g are the coefficients of the second fundamental form.

A spacelike (timelike) surface is called maximal (timelike minimal) if its mean curvature H is zero everywhere.

There are three kinds of rotations in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$: rotations about a spacelike axis, rotations about a timelike axis and rotations about a lightlike axis (see [8], for instance). Below are the matrices of some typical kinds of rotations that will be used in the proof of Lemma 3 and Lemma 7.

1. The matrix corresponding to a rotation about the y-axis is
$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh\theta & 0 & \sinh\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh\theta & 0 & \cosh\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. The matrix corresponding to a rotation about the lightlike axis $x = z,\ y = 0$ is
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{v^2}{2} & -v & \frac{v^2}{2} \\ v & 1 & -v \\ \frac{-v^2}{2} & -v & 1 + \frac{v^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. The matrix corresponding to a rotation about the z-axis is
$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A surface of revolution is a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ obtained by rotating a curve γ, the generatrix, around an axis of rotation l, assuming that γ and l are in a plane.

A density on $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ is a positive function, denoted by e^{-f}, used to weight area (length) of surfaces (curves). The weighted mean curvature or the f-mean curvature of a (spacelike or timelike) surface, denoted by H_f, is defined by
$$H_f = H + \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla f, N \rangle.$$
A spacelike (timelike) surface Σ is called f-maximal (timelike f-minimal) if $H_f = 0$ everywhere, i.e., $H = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla f, N \rangle$.

Gauss space $\mathbb{G}^2$, is just $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the Gaussian probability density
$$e^{-f(x,y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}},$$
where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{G}^2$.

Therefore, the Lorentzian product $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ can be seen as $\mathbb{R}^3_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ endowed with the Gaussian-Euclidean density
$$e^{-f(x,y,z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}},$$
where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$. It should be noted that the last coordinate is not dependent on the density.

Let Σ be an oriented (spacelike or timelike) surface in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$, N be a unit normal vector field on Σ and ρ be the projection onto the z-axis. Then at any point $p \in \Sigma$, we have the following.

Lemma 1. *(Geometric meaning of the quantity $\langle \nabla f, \mathbf{N} \rangle$)*

$$|\langle \nabla f, \mathbf{N} \rangle(p)| = \mathrm{d_E}\left(\rho(p), T_p\Sigma\right).|\mathbf{N}|_{\mathrm{E}},$$

where d_E and $|\ \ |_E$ denote the Euclidean distance the Euclidean length, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $\mathbf{N}(p) = (a, b, c),\ a^2 + b^2 - c^2 = \pm 1$. An equation of $T_p\Sigma$ is of the form $ax + by - cz + d = 0$. We have $\nabla f(p) = (x_0, y_0, 0)$ and $\rho(p) = (0, 0, z_0)$. Therefore

$$|\langle \nabla f, \mathbf{N} \rangle(p)| = |ax_0 + by_0| = |cz_0 - d| = \mathrm{d_E}\left(\rho(p), T_p\Sigma\right).|\mathbf{N}|_{\mathrm{E}}.$$

□

By Lemma 1, it is not hard to prove the followings.

Corollary 2. *In $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$,*

1. *horizontal planes are f-maximal surfaces;*
2. *vertical planes have constant f-mean curvature, such a plane containing the z-axis is timelike f-minimal;*
3. *circular cylinders about the z-axis have constant f-mean curvature, such a cylinder is timelike f-minimal if and only if the radius is 1.*

3 Spacelike f-maximal surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

3.1 Spacelike f-Catenoids in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

In the xz-plane, consider the curve γ_S that is the graph of the function (see Figure 1).

$$h(u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \tau^2 e^{\tau^2 + C}}}\, d\tau, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Rotating γ_S the about the z-axis, we obtain a surface of revolution (see Figure 2), denoted by Σ_S, that can be parametrized as follows.

$$X(u, v) = \left(u\cos v, u\sin v, \int_0^u \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \tau^2 e^{\tau^2 + C}}}\, d\tau\right),$$

where C is a constant. It is easy to verify that the curve is spacelike and therefore the surface Σ_S is spacelike. The surface Σ_S has a singular point, that is the origin. By a direct computation, it follows that the f-mean curvature of Σ_S is zero, i.e., Σ_S is f-maximal. We call Σ_S a spacelike f-Catenoid.



Figure 1. The generatrix γ



Figure 2. Spacelike f-Catenoid.

3.2 Classification of f-maximal surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

In the Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^3_1$, because the mean curvature of a (spacelike or timelike) surfaces is invariant under Lorentzian transformation, when studying surfaces of revolution of constant mean curvature, if the rotation axis is timelike, spacelike or lightlike we can suppose it is the z-axis, the x-axis, or the lightlike axis $x = z, y = 0$, respectively. In the space $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$, with the appearance of the density, we can not do this because the f-mean curvature is not invariant under some Lorentzian transformations. Since the density is dependent on the distance from points to the z-axis and not dependent on the last coordinate, the f-mean curvature of a surface does not change under rotations about as well as translations along the z-axis (see Lemma (1). This observation is useful for the rest of the paper to simplify some calculations.

Lemma 3. *A spacelike surface of revolution Σ in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ can be parametrized as follows.*

1. *If the rotation axis is spacelike*

$$X(u,v) = (u\cosh\theta + g(u)\sinh\theta\cosh v, u\sinh v + a, u\sinh\theta + g(u)\cosh\theta\cosh v). \quad (2)$$

2. *If the rotation axis is lightlike*

$$X(u,v) = \left(u - [u-g(u)]\,\frac{v^2}{2} + a, v[u-g(u)], g(u) - [u-g(u)]\,\frac{v^2}{2}\right). \quad (3)$$

3. If the rotation axis is timelike

$$X(u,v) = (u\cos v\cosh\theta + g(u)\sinh\theta, u\sin v + a, u\cos v\sinh\theta + g(u)\cosh\theta). \quad (4)$$

Proof. 1. **The case l is spacelike**. Under a suitable rotation about the z-axis, we can assume that the plane containing the generatrix γ and the rotation axis l are parallel to or coincident with the xz-plane. If l and the z-axis are not intersect, we assume that the common perpendicular line of l and the z-axis is the y-axis. If l and the z-axis are intersect, we assume that the intersection point is the origin O. Let $\{H\} = (0, a, 0)$ be the intersection point of l and xy-plane and let θ be the angle between l and Ox.

There exist a Lorentz transformation that maps Σ to a surface of revolution Σ_1 obtained by rotating a spacelike γ_1, that lies in the xz-plane, about the x-axis. This transformation is a composition of a translation along y-axis by a vector $v = (0, a, 0)$ and a rotation about y-axis of angle θ. Because the curve γ_1 is spacelike, it can be parametrized as $\gamma_1(u) = (u, 0, g(u)),\ u \in I \subset \mathbb{R},\ g \neq 0,\ 1 - g'^2 > 0$. Then, a parametrization of Σ_1 is $X(u,v) = (u, g(u)\sinh, g(u)\cosh v)$ and therefore a parametrization of Σ is

$$\begin{aligned} X(u,v) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\theta & 0 & \sinh\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh\theta & 0 & \cosh\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ g(u)\sinh v \\ g(u)\cosh v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (u\cosh\theta + g(u)\sinh\theta\cosh v, g(u)\sinh v + a, g(u)\sinh\theta + g(u)\cosh\theta\cosh v) \end{aligned}$$

2. **The case l is lightlike**.

By a suitable rotation about the z-axis, we can assume that the plane containing the generatrix γ and the rotation axis l is the xz-plane and l is parallel to $e_1 + e_3$. Let $\{H\} = (a, 0, 0)$ be the intersection point of l and the xy-plane and suppose that $\gamma(u) = (u, 0, g(u))$. Then, a parametrization of Σ is

$$\begin{aligned} X(u,v) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \dfrac{v^2}{2} & -v & \dfrac{v^2}{2} \\ v & 1 & -v \\ \dfrac{-v^2}{2} & -v & 1 + \dfrac{v^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ g(u) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(u - [u - g(u)]\frac{v^2}{2} + a, v[u - g(u)], g(u) - [u - g(u)]\frac{v^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. **The case l is timelike**.

By the same arguments as in the case l is spacelike, but in this case, θ is the angle between l and the z-axis and Σ_1 is the surface of revolution obtained by rotating γ_1 about the z-axis.

A parametrization of Σ_1 is $(u\cos v, u\sin v, g(u))$. Therefore, a parametrization of Σ is

$$\begin{aligned} X(u,v) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\theta & 0 & \sinh\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh\theta & 0 & \cosh\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u\cos v \\ u\sin v \\ g(u) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (u\cos v\cosh\theta + g(u)\sinh\theta, u\sin v + a, u\cos v\sinh\theta + g(u)\cosh\theta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4. *An f-maximal surface of revolution Σ in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$ is either a horizontal plane or a spacelike f-Catenoid.*

Proof. Since Lorentz transformations preserve the mean curvature of surfaces, along a coordinate curve $u = u_0$, the mean curvature H of Σ is constant. Therefore if the f-mean curvature H_f of Σ is zero, along any coordinate curve $u = u_0$, $\langle \nabla f, N\rangle$ must be constant. This fact will be used to eliminate the case that the rotation axis l is spacelike or lightlike. □

The followings are obtained by straightforward computations.

- If l is spacelike and (2) is a parametrization of Σ, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}}[&u\sinh\theta\cosh\theta\cosh v + g(u)(\sinh^2\theta\cosh^2 v + \sinh^2 v \\ &+ g'(u)\sinh\theta\cosh v\cosh\theta) + ug'(u)\cosh^2\theta + a\sinh v\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}].\end{aligned}$$

  The condition "$\langle \nabla f, N\rangle$ is not constant" is equvalent to that "for any u

$$Q := \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle,$$

  must be zero for every v." By a straightforward computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \frac{u\sinh 2\theta}{2}\sinh v + g(u)\left(\sinh^2\theta\sinh 2v + \sinh 2v + g'(u)\frac{\sinh 2\theta}{2}\sinh v\right) + a\cosh v \\ &= g(u)\sinh 2v\cosh^2\theta + [u + g(u)g'(u)]\frac{\sinh 2\theta}{2}\sinh v + a\cosh v.\end{aligned}$$

  It is not hard to see that if for any $u \in I$, Q vanishes for every v then $g(u) = 0$. This is impossible because $g \neq 0$.

- If l is lightlike and (3) is a parametrization of Σ, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle &= \frac{1}{g'^2(u)-1}\left[u - [u-g(u)]\frac{v^2}{2}\right]\left[g'(u) + \frac{v^2}{2}\left[1-g'(u)\right]\right] + v^2[u-g(u)][g'(u)-1] \\ &= \frac{1}{4(g'^2(u)-1)}\left[(g(u)-u)(1-g'(u))v^4 + 2(u+g(u)g'(u)-2g(u))v^2\right] + ug'(u).\end{aligned}$$

  We can verify that $\langle \nabla f, N\rangle$ is not constant.

- The case l is timelike and (4) is a parametrization of Σ, A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}}&\left[ug'(u)(1+\cos^2 v\sinh^2\theta) + [u+g(u)g'(u)]\frac{\sinh 2\theta}{2}\cos v + g(u)\sinh^2\theta\right] \\ &+ \frac{ag'(u)\sin v}{\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}}. \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

We can see that, for any u, $\langle \nabla f, N\rangle$ is constant if and only if $\theta = a = 0$, i.e., l must be the z-axis. The parametrization of Σ is now become

$$X(u,v) = (u\cos v, u\sin v, g(u)). \qquad (6)$$

A direct computation shows that

$$H = \frac{-1}{2}\frac{(1-g'^2)g' + ug''}{u(1-g'^2)^{3/2}},$$
$$\langle \nabla f, N\rangle = \frac{-g'u}{\sqrt{1-g'^2}}.$$

Therefore, Σ is f-maximal if and only if g satisfies the following equation

$$(1-g'^2)g' + ug'' + u^2g'(1-g'^2) = 0. \tag{7}$$

We solve equation (7).

- It is clear that $g(u) = a$, where a is constant, is a solution of (7), i.e., Σ is a vertical plane.
- Now locally we can suppose that $g'(u) \neq 0$ for every $u \in J$, where $J \subset I$. Multiply both sides of (7) by g' and set $h = g'^2$, we get

$$-\frac{dh}{du} = 2\frac{u^2+1}{u}h(1-h).$$

Solving this equation, we obtain

$$\ln\frac{1-h}{h} = u^2 + \ln u^2 + C,\ C \in \mathbb{R},$$

or

$$h = \frac{1}{1+u^2e^{u^2+C}}.$$

Therefore,

$$g'(u) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+u^2e^{u^2+C}}},$$

and

$$g(u) = \pm\int_{u_0}^{u}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\tau^2e^{\tau^2+C}}}d\tau, \quad u_0 \in J.$$

The function g is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, therefore we can assume that $I = \mathbb{R},\ u_0 = 0$, i.e., $\gamma \equiv \gamma_s$ or $\gamma \equiv \overline{\gamma_s}$, where $\overline{\gamma_s}$ is the graph of the function

$$\overline{h}(u) = -\int_{0}^{u}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\tau^2e^{\tau^2+C}}}d\tau, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is clear that γ_s and $\overline{\gamma_s}$ generate the same surface of revolution Σ_S.

4 Timelike f-minimal surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

4.1 Timelike f-Catenoids in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

In the xz-plane consider the curve γ_T that is the graph of the function

$$h(u) = \int_{u_0}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2} - C\tau^2}}\, d\tau,$$

where C is a positive constant and u_0 belongs to the domain D of the function. The domain D is determined by the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Consider the function $h : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(u) = e^{u^2} - Cu^2$, where $C > 0$. Then*

1. *If $0 < C < e$, then $h(u) > 0,\ \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$.*
2. *If $C = e$, then $h(u) > 0,\ \forall u \neq -1, 1$.*
3. *If $C > e$, then there exist $0 < u_1 < 1 < u_2$, such that $h(u) > 0,\ \forall u \in (-\infty, -u_2) \cup (-u_1, u_1) \cup (u_2, +\infty)$.*

Proof. Because the function h is even, we just consider the case $u \geq 0$. Taking the derivative of the function, we obtain

$$h'(u) = 2u\left(e^{u^2} - C\right).$$

1. If $C \leq 1$, then $h'(u) > 0, \forall u > 0$. The function h is monotonically increasing and therefore $h(u) > 0, \forall u \geq 0$. Note that $h(0) = 1$.
2. If $C > 1$, the function has the only minimum point at $u = \sqrt{\ln C}$ and $h(\sqrt{\ln C}) = C - C\ln C$. We consider the following subcases.
   - The case $1 < C < e$. Because $h(\sqrt{\ln C}) = C - \ln C > 0,\ \ h(u) > 0, \forall u \geq 0$.
   - The case $C = e$. We can see that $h(u) > 0, \forall u \neq 1$ and $h(1) = 0$.
   - The case $C > e$. Because $h(\sqrt{\ln C}) = C - C\ln C < 0$, there exist two values $0 < u_1 < 1 < u_2$ such that $\ h(u_1) = h(u_2) = 0,\ \ h(u) > 0,\ \forall u \notin [u_1, u_2]$ and $h(u) \leq 0,\ \forall u \in [u_1, u_2]$.

x	0		u_1		$\sqrt{\ln c}$		u_2		$+\infty$
$h'(x)$		$-$	$\vert$	$-$	0	$+$	$\vert$	$+$	
$h(x)$	1	$\searrow$						$\nearrow$	$+\infty$
			0	$\searrow$		$\nearrow$	0		
					$C(1-\ln C)$				

□

By Lemma 5, the domain D and u_0 are chosen as follows.

1. If $0 < C < e$, then $D = \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 = 0$.

2. If $C = e$, then $D = (-\infty, -1),\ u_0 = -1$ or $D = (-1, 1),\ u_0 = 0$ or or $D = (1, +\infty), u_0 = 1$.

3. If $C > e$, then $D = (-\infty, -u_2),\ u_0 = -u_2$ or $D = (-u_1, u_1),\ u_0 = 0$; or $D = (u_2, +\infty), u_0 = u_2$.

Rotate the curve about the z-axis, we obtain a surface of revolution, denoted by Σ_T, that can be parametrized as follows.

$$X(u, v) = \left(u\cos v, u\sin v, \int_{u_0}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2} - C\tau^2}}\, d\tau \right).$$

By a direct computation, it follows that the curve is timelike, Σ_T is timelike. Moreover Σ_T is timelike f-minimal. We call Σ_T a timelike f-Catenoid.



Figure 3. Generatrices corresponding to $C = 2, 1.5, 1, 0.5$ and the line $x = z$, respectively



Figure 4. The generatrix and the corresponding timelike f-minimal surface ($C < e$)



Figure 5. The generatrix and the corresponding timelike f-minimal surface ($C = e,\ D = (-1, 1)$)



Figure 6. The generatrix and the corresponding timelike f-minimal surface ($C = e,\ D = (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$)



Figure 7. The generatrix and the corresponding timelike f-minimal surface ($C = 3.1,\ D = (-0.75, 0.75)$)



Figure 8. The generatrix and the corresponding timelike f-minimal surface ($C = 3.1,\ D = (1.267, 3)$)

Remark 6. 1. If $C = e$, the integral $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2} - C\tau^2}} d\tau$ is divergence.



The divergence of the integral is showed by WolframAlpha

2. If $C > e = 2.718281828...$, let $u_1 < 1 < u_2$ are solutions of the equation $e^{u^2} - Cu^2 = 0$, $I_1 = \int_0^{u_1} \sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2} - Cu^2}} d\tau$ and $I_2 = \int_{u_2}^4 \sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2} - Cu^2}} d\tau$. The following table computed by Maple gives us some specific values. We can see that the intergal I_1 is convergence. When C goes to ∞ both u_1 and I_1 goes to 0.

C	2.72	2.725	2.73	2.74	2.75
u_1	0.9822782644	0.9650767467	0.9539872965	0.9375982937	0.9248309636
u_2	1.017827051	1.035334695	1.046729750	1.063728407	1.077103331
I_1	3.39646	2.913861676	2.716714471	2.497786122	2.363204279
I_2	5.63324	5.150340780	4.952913186	4.733425412	4.598285949

C	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.2
u_1	0.8808758710	0.8258522408	0.7868044780	0.7556136794	0.7293528965
u_2	1.124065962	1.184957908	1.229688803	1.266389104	1.297996253
I_1	2.026032087	1.740252676	1.583315220	1.474783161	1.391943189
I_2	4.258352255	3.967178286	3.805008572	3.691396684	3.603620332

C	4	5	6	7	8
u_1	0.5978318795	0.5090885010	0.4521962510	0.4113302857	0.3800280951
u_2	1.467410087	1.594566197	1.683195738	1.751120026	1.806013755
I_1	1.051169565	0.8629326647	0.7526390978	0.6770807650	0.6208959900
I_2	3.227665154	3.003422822	2.863129355	2.761819500	2.683055126

C	10	20	30	40	50
u_1	0.3344137545	0.2295778377	0.1857512382	0.1601547153	0.1428721249
u_2	1.891336053	2.121262664	2.239037675	2.317248453	2.375356389
I_1	0.5412131026	0.3655508690	0.2943554575	0.2532125983	0.2255845814
I_2	2.565108172	2.266933975	2.122055409	2.027988230	1.959035117

C	100	200	300	400	500
u_1	0.1005063540	0.07088856878	0.05783165509	0.05006269611	0.04476619308
u_2	2.544164917	2.698888129	2.784186390	2.842705995	2.887054100
I_1	0.1582764960	0.1114918791	0.09091788154	0.07868765650	0.07035385008
I_2	1.762485425	1.586306978	1.490482830	1.425201168	1.375955282

4.2 Classification of timelike f-minimal surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_1$

Let Σ be a timelike surface of revolution with the generatrix γ and the rotation axis l. Since γ is timelike, it can be expressed as below

$$\gamma(u) = (g(u), 0, u).$$

As in the case Σ is spacelike, a local parametrization of Σ as well as $\langle \nabla f, N \rangle$ can be computed as follows.

Lemma 7. *1. If the rotation axis is spacelike*

$$X(u,v) = (g(u)\cosh\theta + u\sinh\theta\cosh v, u\sinh v + a, g(u)\sinh\theta + u\cosh\theta\cosh v).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla f, N \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[g'(u)]^2 - 1}}[&(g(u)g'(u) + u)\sinh\theta\cosh\theta\cosh v + g(u)\cosh^2\theta + ug'(u)\sinh^2\theta\cosh^2 \\ &+ (g(u)\sinh v + a)(g'(u)\sinh v)]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2. If the rotation axis is lightlike

$$X(u,v)=\left(g(u)+[u-g(u)]\,\frac{v^2}{2}+a,-v[u-g(u)],u+[u-g(u)]\,\frac{v^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\nabla f,N\rangle=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}}\left[ug'(u)(1+\sin^2 v\sinh^2\theta)+[u+g(u)g'(u)]\frac{\sinh 2\theta}{2}\sin v+g(u)\sinh^2\theta\right]\\&+\frac{ag'(u)\cos v}{\sqrt{1-[g'(u)]^2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

3. If the rotation axis is timelike

$$X(u,v)=(g(u)\cosh\theta\sin v+u\sinh\theta,g(u)\cos v+a,g(u)\sinh\theta\sin v+u\cosh\theta).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\nabla f,N\rangle=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{[g'(u)]^2-1}}[(g(u)g'(u)+u)\sinh\theta\cosh\theta\sin v+g(u)\cosh^2\theta\sin^2 v\\&+g(u)\cos^2 v+ug'(u)\sinh^2\theta+a\cos v].\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Theorem 8. *A zero f-mean curvature timelike surface of revolution in $\mathbb{G}^2\times\mathbb{R}$ is either a vertical plane containing the z-axis, the cylinder $x^2+y^2=1$, or a timelike f-Catenoid.*

Proof. It is not hard to check that (9) can not be constant, (8) is constant if and only if $\theta=0$ and $g'=0$ and (10) is constant if and only if $\theta=a=0$. That means if l is lightlike, Σ must be a vertical plane. By Lemma (1) such a plane is of zero f-mean curvature if and only if the plane contains the z-axis. Now consider the case l is timelike. The condition $\theta=a=0$ means that l is the z-axis. If γ is a vertical line, then Σ is a circular cylinder. By Lemma (1) a circular cylinder has non-zero f-mean curvature and only the cylinder $x^2+y^2=1$ is timelike f-minimal. Now consider the case γ is not a vertical line. Locally we can parametrize Σ as follows.

$$X(u,v)=(u\sin v,u\cos v,g(u)),\quad 1-g'^2<0.\tag{11}$$

A direct computation shows that Σ is timelike f-minimal if and only if u is a solution of the following equation.

$$g''(u)u+g'(u)(1-g'^2(u))+u^2g'(u)(g'^2(u)-1)=0,\tag{12}$$

Equation (12) is equivalent to

$$g''(u)u=(1-u^2)g'(u)(g'^2(u)-1).$$

or

$$\frac{g''(u)}{g'(u)(g'^2(u)-1)}=\frac{1}{u}-u.\tag{13}$$

Intergrating both sides of (13), we obtain

$$\ln\frac{g'^2(u)-1}{g'^2(u)}=\ln u^2-u^2+C,$$

or

$$\frac{g'^2(u)-1}{g'^2(u)} = \frac{Cu^2}{e^{u^2}}$$

Hence,

$$g'(u) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{e^{u^2}}{e^{u^2}-Cu^2}}, \quad e^{u^2} - Cu^2 > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$g(u) = \pm\int_{u_0}^{u}\sqrt{\frac{e^{\tau^2}}{e^{\tau^2}-C\tau^2}}d\tau + B,$$

where $e^{u^2} - Cu^2 > 0$ and B is a constant. Depending on the value of C, the domain D as well as the initial point u_0 are chosen as in the Subsection 4.1. The surface Σ is a timelike f-catenoid. □

Acknowledgements. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04.2014.26.

References

[1] Albujer, Alma L, *Global behaviour of maximal surfaces in Lorentzian product spaces*, Differential geometry and its applications, 23-33, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.

[2] Albujer, Alma L.; Alías, Luis J, *Parabolicity of maximal surfaces in Lorentzian product spaces*, Math. Z. 267 (2011), no. 1-2, 453-464.

[3] Albujer, Alma L.; Alías, Luis J, *Calabi-Bernstein results and parabolicity of maximal surfaces in Lorentzian product spaces*, Recent trends in Lorentzian geometry, 49-85, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 26, Springer, New York, 2013.

[4] G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 5th ed. San Diego: Harcourt/Academic Press, 2001.

[5] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi, II; *Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities*, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755-833.

[6] J. Hano and K. Nomizu, *Surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 32, 3 (1984), 427-437.

[7] O. Kobayashi, *Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space L_3*, Tokyo J. Math. 6, 2 (1983), 297-309.

[8] Lee, Sungwook; Varnado, Jeffrey H, *Spacelike constant mean curvature surfaces of revolution in Minkowski 3-space*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 8 (2006), 144-165.

[9] Lee, Sungwook; Varnado, Jeffrey H, *Timelike surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in Minkowski 3-space*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 9 (2007), 82-102 (electronic).

[10] Lee, Sungwook; Martin, Jacob. *Timelike surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in de Sitter 3-space*, Int. Electron. J. Geom. 8 (2015), no. 1, 116-127.

[11] de Lima, Henrique F.; Lima, Eraldo A., Jr, *Entire spacelike H-graphs in Lorentzian product spaces*, Publ. Mat. 60 (2016), no. 2, 273-287.

[12] Lima, E. A., Jr.; Romero, A., *Uniqueness of complete maximal surfaces in certain Lorentzian product spacetimes* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 435 (2016), no. 2, 1352-1363.

[13] R. López, *Timelike surfaces with constant mean curvature in Lorentz three-space*, Tohoku Math. J. 52 (2000), 515-532.

[14] R. López, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Int. Electron. J. Geom. 7 (2014), no. 1, 44-107.

[15] F. Morgan, *Manifolds with density*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (2005), 853-858.

[16] F. Morgan, *Geometric Measure Theory: a Beginner's Guide*, Fourth edition. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2009.

[17] L. McNertney, *One-parameter families of surfaces with constant mean curvature in Lorentz 3-space*, Ph. D. Thesis, Brown Univ., Providence, RI, U.S.A., 1980.

[18] B. O'Neil, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, London (1983).

[19] T. Weinstein, *An introduction to Lorentz surfaces*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 22. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.